An Introduction to Stein's Method

Liam Hodgkinson



Objective

To show that two random elements X and Z are close in distribution:

 $\mathbb{E}f(X) \approx \mathbb{E}f(Z)$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}$.



What you can do

By the Central Limit Theorem,

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n-\mu}{\sigma}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

But how good is this approximation for *finite n*?



What you can do

Theorem (Berry-Esseen)

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots be iid $w / \mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1$.

If $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ then

$$\left|\mathbb{E}f(\sqrt{n}\ \bar{X}_n) - \mathbb{E}f(Z)\right| \leq rac{4\|f'\|_\infty \mathbb{E}|X_i^3|}{\sqrt{n}}$$



What you can do

Theorem (Schuhmacher-Stucki)

Let Ξ , H be Gibbs processes with conditional intensities ν and λ respectively, with respect to μ . Then

 $\|\mathbb{E}f(\Xi) - \mathbb{E}f(H)\|$ $\leq C(\lambda) \|f\|_{\infty} \int \mathbb{E}|\nu(x|\Xi) - \lambda(x|\Xi)|\mu(dx).$

Estimating f(b) - f(a):



Estimating f(b) - f(a): apply Taylor's Theorem!



Estimating f(b) - f(a): apply Taylor's Theorem!

$$f(b) - f(a) = \int_{a}^{b} f'(x) \mathrm{d}x = (b-a)f'(\xi).$$

bound the derivative \rightarrow bound the difference.



More generally,

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\gamma[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]} \nabla f(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r},$$

for any curve $\gamma[x, y]$ from x to y.

 \longrightarrow Taylor's Theorem in multiple variables



A 'curve' between two random variables



A 'curve' between two random variables

- a stochastic process!



Theorem (Ito's Lemma)

Let X_t satisfy the stochastic differential equation $dX_t = \mu(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t$,

$$\mathbb{E}f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}f(X_0) = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}f(X_s) \mathrm{d}s$$

where for $\Sigma(x) = \sigma(x)\sigma(x)^{\top}$,

$$\mathcal{A}f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Sigma_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x).$$

More generally,

Theorem (Dynkin's Formula)

Let X_t be a Markov process with generator A. Then

$$\mathbb{E}f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}f(X_0) = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}f(X_s) \mathrm{d}s.$$



$$\mathbb{E}f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}f(X_0) = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}f(X_s) \mathrm{d}s.$$



$$\mathbb{E}f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}f(X) = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}f(X_s) \mathrm{d}s.$$



$$\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{Z}) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{X}) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}f(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathrm{d}s.$$



Proposition (Ethier & Kurtz, Proposition 1.5)

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} \mathcal{A} f(X_s) \mathrm{d} s = \mathbb{E} \mathcal{A} g_f(X_0)$$

where g_f is the function

$$g_f(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_x f(X_s) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) \mathrm{d}s$$



$$\mathbb{E}f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) = \mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}g_f(X).$$

where $g_f(x) = -\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_x f(X_s) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) \mathrm{d}s.$



Suppose X_t has equilibrium distribution Z. If $X_0 \sim X$, then

$$\mathbb{E}f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) = \mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}g_f(X).$$

where $g_f(x) = -\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_x f(X_s) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) \mathrm{d}s.$

This is the foundation of Stein's method



Examples for X_t

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck: $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -X_t \mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2}\mathrm{d}W_t$$

The **generator** is

$$\mathcal{A}f(x)=f''(x)-xf'(x).$$



Examples for X_t

Langevin SDE: *Z* with density π :

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \nabla \log \pi(X_t) \mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}W_t$$

The generator is

 $\mathcal{A}f(x) = \Delta f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot \nabla \log \pi(x).$

This might look familiar...



Stein's trick

For the generator \mathcal{A} :

- g_f is the unique solution to the Stein equation

$$f(x) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) = \mathcal{A}g_f(x).$$



Stein's trick

For a Stein operator \mathcal{A} for Z:

g_f is the unique solution to the Stein equation

$$f(x) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) = \mathcal{A}g_f(x).$$



Stein's trick

For a **Stein operator** \mathcal{A} for Z:

- $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}f(X) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F} \iff X \sim Z$
- g_f is the unique solution to the Stein equation

$$f(x) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) = \mathcal{A}g_f(x).$$

Stein's Method: bound $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathbb{E}Ag_f(X)|$



"The Stein operator"

For Z with density π , the generator of the Langevin SDE is

 $\mathcal{A}f(x) = \Delta f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot \nabla \log \pi(x)$



"The Stein operator"

For Z with density π , "the" Stein operator for Z is

 $\mathcal{A}\phi(x) =
abla \cdot \phi(x) + \phi(x) \cdot
abla \log \pi(x)$



Kernelised Stein Discrepancy

If \mathcal{H} is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space

$$\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{H}} |\mathbb{E}\mathcal{A}\phi(X)| = \mathbb{E}\mathcal{K}(X,X'),$$

where K is a certain kernel (Liu et al, 2016).

A direct way to estimate discrepancy between a sample and a distribution



Stein operator for $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$: Let $\mathcal{A}f(x) = f'(x) - xf(x)$

Let $W = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sqrt{n} \ \bar{X}_n$



Stein operator for $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$: Let $\mathcal{A}f(x) = f'(x) - xf(x)$

Let
$$W = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sqrt{n} \ \bar{X}_n$$

Let $W_i = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n X_j = W - n^{-1/2} X_i$



Stein operator for $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$: Let $\mathcal{A}f(x) = f'(x) - xf(x)$

Let
$$W = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sqrt{n} \ ar{X}_n$$

Let
$$W_i = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n X_j = W - n^{-1/2} X_i$$

Taylor's Theorem: $f(W) - f(W_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_i f'(W_i) + \frac{1}{n}X_i^2 f''(\xi_i)$



Lemma (Stein's Lemma)

Let $\mathcal{A}f(x) = f'(x) - xf(x)$ be the Stein operator of $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Then

$$\|g_f''\|_{\infty} \leq 2\|f'\|_{\infty}.$$



Theorem (Berry-Esseen)

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots be iid $w / \mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1$.

If $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ then

$$|\mathbb{E}f(W) - \mathbb{E}f(Z)| \leq \frac{4\|f'\|_{\infty}\mathbb{E}|X_i^3|}{\sqrt{n}}$$



For any distribution there are infinitely many such X_t. What makes a good one?



Contraction rates

Rapid convergence of X_t to stationarity



Contraction rates

Rapid convergence of X_t to stationarity

- If X_t is exponentially ergodic, then $\|g_f\|_{\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{\infty}$
- If X_t is hypercontractive with constant λ , then $\|\nabla g_f\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda \|\nabla f\|_{\infty}$.

